

PALOMAR COLLEGE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW 2005 INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS DATA COLLECTION FORM

PLEASE READ "2005 Instructional Programs Guidelines Form" BEFORE PROCEEDING.

Name of Instructional Program Reviewed in this Document: Emergency Medical Education

1. Progress Report. Review previous year's institutional review. Describe the progress made on any recommendations or areas of need identified in your previous year's review. Specifically, describe progress made toward the areas of program assessment, current program goals and objectives, and learning outcomes.

In the last two years we have accomplished the following objectives from the last IR:

1. Are fully accredited by Commission on Accreditation of Educational Programs for Emergency Medical Services Professionals.
2. Revised the job description of the programs Medical Director and have a very active and knowledgeable physician with our program who really cares about the students and the success of our program.
3. Developed and implemented materials fees for our classes.
4. Hired full time instructor.

2. Insert quantitative data for this program. Access this data at <http://sharepoint.palomar.edu/ir>

Program Data Elements	Fall 02	Fall 03	Fall 04
Enrollment	781	892	1,031
WSCH	3,677.3	3,602	3,537.6
FTES	122.6	120.1	117.9
WSCH/FTEF	473.7	353.8	356.6
Retention Rate	96.3	96.6	95.2
Success Rate	75.1	74.4	65.6
% Enrollment to Max	N/A		

Staff Data Elements	Fall 02	Fall 03	Fall 04
Contract FTE	2.62	2.63	2.62
Overload FTE	1.26	1.11	1.52
Hourly FTE	3.88	5.40	5.78
Total FTE	7.76	9.15	9.92

3. Program assessment. Assessment of program offerings, faculty/staff needs, etc.

Our enrollment is increasing by close to 15% each year without us offering more classes or programs. Our classes remain popular and with high interest. Unfortunately with increased numbers our WSCH decreases due to the increased lab hours and state requirements for instructor to student ratios. We have the student population to add even more courses but do not have the staff to support this. We have dedicated rooms now but we are keeping them at high occupancy. In addition, we have had our success rate drop. We think that is reflective of the EMT classes which have had a significant decrease in student success. This drop in success in that program does seem to be cyclical. We are looking at how we can improve our success rate.

*Note: Your institutional review submission will be posted on IRC password-protected sharepoint site.
Form prepared on 5/24/2006

**PALOMAR COLLEGE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW
2005 INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS DATA COLLECTION FORM**

4. List accomplishments program has made in the last academic year.

1. Improved success rate of National Registry Exam at both Paramedic and EMT levels.
2. Developed clinical integration program for paramedic students that was piloted in 05 and will be added to the Fall 06 curriculum.
3. Continued to revise schedule for lecture and lab to optimize the room usage and to achieve better flow for didactic portion.
4. Formed Paramedic Training Group so that the now 3 programs in this county can work together to maximize the opportunities for student training sites.

5. List current program goals and objectives. (2-3 measurable goals that are congruent with Palomar College Strategic Planning Goals)

1. Develop and begin to offer classes such as the EMT Bridge Program, CERT training, and increased lab classes.
2. Evaluate simulations and daily testing processes making the testing process valid and useful for student success.
3. Become an American Heart Association Training Center.

6. Learning outcome goal and objective. Identify one learning outcome that has been incorporated in one course in the program and identify a measure for determining whether the learning outcome has been achieved.

1. Use the employer and employee evaluations that are required by our accrediting body to incorporate changes as needed in our programs.

This Report Prepared By: Debi Workman 11/1/05
Name (print and initial) Date

This Report Submitted To: Wilma Owens 11/1/05
Name (print) Date

FEEDBACK & SUGGESTIONS TO INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR NEXT REVIEW: